In response to the Office Actions, mailed on November 14, 2005 and February 25,

2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejections set forth by the

Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 102(e). Applicant submits that the reference of record

fails to either teach or suggest Applicant's presently claimed invention.

Applicant has modified the independent claim to clearly define the invention's

function. Advantageously, the claimed inventive database may be utilized by any device or

method for conversion of dates or times from one time zone to another. There is simply no

teaching or suggestion whatsoever concerning the use of a local shift time associated with a

timezone in conjunction with an anchor shift time associated with each said local shift time.

Hayes et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,366,834, is directed to display time method using

stored delayed time (or times) from the standard time for every state, monitoring the position

of a vehicle, and referring to the stored information to perform a time display on the basis of

the delay time corresponding to the time zone. Col. 1, line 65 - Col. 2, line 14. Hayes et al.

describes the delay time as merely the delay in time from Greenwich standard time. Col. 1,

lines 8-10. For example, the Eastern time zone has a 5-hour delay time from the Greenwich

standard time, while the Central time zone has a 6-hour delay time from the Greenwich

standard time. Col. 1, lines 12-19. Hayes et al. discloses a difference in time database that

includes information such as number of time zones to which a state belongs, delay time from

Greenwich standard time, whether a state belongs to a plurality of time zones, information on

whether or not daylight savings is enforced, and delay time from Greenwich standard time of

summer time and winter time. See Figure 4; Col. 4, lines 15-31. Hayes et al. explains that

5

Reply to Office Action of February 25, 2005

the time generating portion calculates the present time TDL on the basis of the difference in

time obtained from the delay time information in the time information database. Col. 6, lines

21-28; lines 45-52. Additionally, if the state in which the vehicle is present enforces daylight

saving, the difference in time calculating portion decides whether summer or winter time is

employed in consideration of the present date and time and outputs a difference in time

corresponding to the summer or winter time difference in time. Col. 6, lines 53-67.

However, the presently claimed invention is patentably different because it uses at least one

local shift time and corresponding anchor shift time which are relative to a reference date

time at an arbitrary location. The presently claimed invention provides that the local shift

time is a time when a local shift occurs as perceived by one in the timezone immediately

following the advance or reversal of clock time that accompanies the local time shift whereas

the anchor shift time is an elapsed time at the anchor location since the reference date-time.

This is simply different than the delay time information of Hayes et al. Additionally, by way

of example, the presently claimed invention allows the same local time shift and anchor shift

time information to perform calculations including daylight savings time. On the contrary,

Hayes et al. requires a specific determination of whether daylight savings time is enforced

and thereafter requires the use of summer or winter time difference to perform conversion

calculations.

The reference of record fails to teach or suggest the presently claimed invention.

These advances are now clearly recited in the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that all

claims are in condition for allowance.

6

Appl. No. 09/757,939 Amdt. Dated December 14, 2005 Reply to Office Action of February 25, 2005

Respectfully submitted.

Date: December 14, 2005

(Reg. #26,494)

Todd S. Parkhurst

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 131 S. Dearborn, 30th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tel: (312) 263-3600

Attorney for Applicant

Appl. No. 09/757,939 Amdt. Dated December 14, 2005 Reply to Office Action of February 25, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States

Postal Service as Express Mail on December 14, 2005 in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Attorney for Applicants

3453399_v1